

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/710,828	08/05/2004	Daniel C. Edelstein	FIS920040159	4827
30743 75	90 09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
•	CURTIS & CHRISTOF	PIZARRO CRESPO, MARCOS D		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · *	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/710,828	EDELSTEIN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FID.  ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	f the final rejection.  RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  ) and the appropriate extension fee have  The appropriate extension fee under 37  final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:  (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying the issues for				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: 8 and 9.</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-20.</li> </ul>		vill be entered and an explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_	• •				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented. So on of the status of the claims after o	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). entry is below or attached.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	HOWARD WEISS PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' arguments were not found persuasive to overcome the rejection of the claims.]

## The applicants argue:

There is no disclosure in Li that the multiple sub-layers have graded mechanical characteristics.

## The examiner responds:

Li clearly shows the above features of the claimed invention. See, e.g., col.3/II.41-48 and col.6/II.26-30 where Li teaches that said multiple sub-layers have the highest concentration of barrier material, e.g., tungsten, at the bottom surface of the layer and the lowest concentration of barrier material at the top surface thereof. Accordingly, the multiple sub-layers have the highest impermeability at the bottom surface, which impermeability gradually decreases towards the top surface of the sub-layers.

All other arguments presented by the applicants have been considered and addressed in a prior Office action.